

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/553,969	04/21/2000	Donald G. Wallace	17067-002040	6560
20350	7590 05/07/2002			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
	RCADERO CENTER	WARE, TODD		
EIGHTH FLO	-	wate,	TODB	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	(0)
			DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	/ X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/553,969	WALLACE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Todd D Ware	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 h	<u>-ebruary 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	4) Intonious Summ	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice of Inform	ary (P10-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of request for extension of time (granted) and information disclosure statement filed 2-13-02 is acknowledged.

# **Continued Prosecution Application**

1. The request filed on 2-13-02 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09553,969 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Berg et al (4,837,285; hereafter '285).

'285 discloses resorbable wound compositions comprising gel particles to that are sufficiently open to stimulate cellular ingrowth and are applied with a syringe (abstract; C 2, L 49-64; C 3, L 42-C 4, L 5; C 4, L 55-56; C 5, L 10-68; examples; claims). See MPEP 2113 for rejection of Product-by-process claims under 35 U.S.C. 102. In the instant case, the product of the instant claim is a hydrogel in particle

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969

Art Unit: 1615

form and the product of '285 is in bead form. There does not appear to be any difference between a bead and a particle and the Patent Office is not equipped to manufacture products by the various processes put before it and then obtain prior art products and make physical comparisons therewith.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg et al (4,837,285; hereafter '285).

'285 discloses resorbable wound compositions comprising gel particles to that are sufficiently open to stimulate cellular ingrowth and are applied with a syringe (abstract; C 2, L 49-64; C 3, L 42-C 4, L 5; C 4, L 55-56; C 5, L 10-68; examples; claims). See MPEP 2113 for rejection of Product-by-process claims under 35 U.S.C. 102. In the instant case, the product of the instant claim is a hydrogel in particle form resulting from mechanical disruption and the product of '285 is in bead form resulting from spray drying. Furthermore, page 10, lines 4-27 of the instant specification admits that there is no criticality between mechanical disruption and spray drying. The motivation for choosing between the two being a matter of convenience in that one may be more easily accomplished whether by accessibility or price. Therefore, the burden is

Application/Control Number: 09/553,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

shifted to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Todd D Ware whose telephone number is (703) 305-1700. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on (703)308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

THURMAN K. PAGE
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PROVOGY GENTER 1600